



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:
Strategic Sites and Policies**
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

MR

First Name

EDWARD

Last Name

MOTT

Job Title

(where relevant)

CEO INVESTMENT MANAGER

Organisation

(where relevant)

OXFORD CAPITAL

Address Line 1

HURSTCOTE

Line 2

APPLETON ROAD

Line 3

CUMNOR

Line 4

Post Code

OX2 9 QL

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

(where relevant)

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

4.2

Policy

4

Proposals Map

4.2

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

✓

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

WHY IT IS UNSOUND

- In terms of human Geography it fails to enhance the local community which is already under stress
- In terms of Physical Geography it fails to adapt the planned development to the natural and infrastructure constraints
- The Consequence is an overall deterioration NOT an enhancement to the human capital, to the community, to the economic and social life which is likely to lead to greater negative unplanned consequences.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph 4.2 Policy 7 Proposals Map 4.2

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

LACK OF APPROPRIATE INFRASTRUCTURE
INADEQUATE ROADS already Choking (A34)
POOR ACCESS
DOCTOR SURGERIES & SCHOOL ALREADY AT FULL CAPACITY
The local infrastructure was not engineered and never envisaged a doubling, tripling or even quadrupling of population and its related changes of economic - The consequence is a progressive degradation of social and economic efficiency in an old community which would be better addressed NOT by a patchwork approach but by visionary and holistic planning on greenfields -

To be continued on
next page.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Paragraph
4.2 .

Policy
7

Proposed Map.
4.2 .

The excellent work of local planners working within the brief of their national masters, is being destroyed by a surprising lack of connectivity in communications with local communities.

The result has been a switch in the population affected from cooperative understanding to increasingly aggressive resistance, fuelled by fears and a sense of unreasonable disenfranchisement.

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

5.6

Policy

13.

Proposals Map

5.1

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

☐

No

☐

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

☐

No

☐

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

☐

No

☐

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Removal of the Green Belt will destroy our rural village forever. The strength and resilience of the majority of the population of Cumnor is its sense of community, its cohesion, its generosity. The proposed approach introduces unreasonable wishes of destroying this strength of society in a changing world.

There are NO EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES to change the boundaries of the Green Belt.

The long term plan is the framework which needs popular support in the context of the aspiration value of the local community.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

To be Continued on next page.

The Vale of White Horse Planning has not consulted on long term ambitions of society but has instead thrust upon it a shorter term "planning".

The requirement of more housing needs to be resolved not by ~~destroying~~ existing communities and overlooking existing community relations and local infrastructure. Instead it needs to be met by the creation of new communities, new garden villages with new facilities around which a pioneering society can ~~coalesce~~ coalesce.

Communities can't lose recreational facilities and playing fields widely used by all.

Any communities revolves around facilities.

Playing fields are not just spare land which can be used for building or replaced elsewhere.

There are the lungs, physically and the heart spiritually of a community.

In the modern world, communities need the social facilities in their midst.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The fundamental problem is putting new wine in old wine skins. The destruction of existing communities should not be "planned". The logic of "inserting" extra houses, of "filling" in gaps ~~of~~ in local villages, is fundamentally flawed. Instead whole "new villages" of pioneering communities, with their own modern infrastructure, should be promoted and encouraged to become competing nodes of social and economic life in the Oxfordshire economy.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☒

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

16/12/2014