This policy specifies that the plan must ensure that the scale and location of housing developments are built in the most appropriate locations.

I contest the proposition that is a large village with adequate facilities that can absorb a 79% increase in houses (200 in the plan and 70 being built). The infra structure simply does not exist and there are no plans to put anything in place. The NPPF states that the plan should "find ways to enhance and improve the places in which people live their lives". This has been totally disregarded. The Vale states that this plan to build in East Hanney will bring about only "minor negative" effects. I can find no justification for this statement except that implied by the sophistry of their descriptions.

East Hanney is not "the most appropriate place" for large scale building. The plan offers no explanation as to why it has ignored at least 10 other villages which are much bigger, better located for roads, have far more facilities and do not carry the flood risks of East Hanney. I regard this plan as a destructive urbanisation of a small rural village and fails to justify, as is required by the NPPF, that the plan is "the most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives" and is unsound.