

Paragraph 1.9 & Map "Land South of East Hanney".

States "the plan has been prepared in compliance with Policy".

In the April plan the land highlighted as suitable for building, lies to the east of the village. In the next presentation that proposal vanishes and the map shows the proposed site as being to the south of the village and a survey of the villagers indicates that very few people knew of the change. The only explanation given by the Vale as why the change was made is to suggest the community preferred the south site because it was better integrated to the village. There was no consultation that would support this claim. The policy states that the plan should be "empowering local people to shape their surroundings". With zero genuine consultation that requirement has not been met.

The land indicated as suitable for building in the south is much bigger than the areas EHAN05 & EHAN06 which were designated "suitable in principle" on the previous map and now includes area EHAN05B which was previously designated as "unsuitable". Again this was done without any meaningful attempt to justify the change.

I cannot imagine how these total omissions can allow the plan to be compliant with the Policy of the NPPF and must make this part of the plan to develop south of East Hanney unsound.