

## Comment

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| Consultee          | Mr Clive Manvell (829424)  |
| Email Address      | [REDACTED]   |
| Address            | Tamarisk<br>Main Street<br>Wantage<br>OX12 0JE                       |
| Event Name         | Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -<br>Publication        |
| Comment by         | Mr Clive Manvell   |
| Comment ID         | LPPub817   |
| Response Date      | 18/12/14 12:32   |
| Consultation Point | Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs ( <a href="#">View</a><br>) |
| Status             | Submitted  |
| Submission Type    | Email  |
| Version            | 0.3  |

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** No

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** South of East Hanney

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** No

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

East Hanney is prone to flooding ? there is plenty of evidence for this 2007, 2008, 2014 and many, many years in the past. The Environment Agency identifies East Hanney as being an area susceptible

to ground water flooding. The proposed development to the south of the village increases the risk for the whole village. The Vale's assessment that the effect of the development will be neutral is unsound and the proposed plan, therefore, is not consistent with national policy, as required by the NPPF.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

The Plan as proposed for the site south of East Hanney should be abandoned as there has been no consultation regarding the proposal and what is proposed has not been justified and is unsound.

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination