

## Comment

Consultee	Mr Jeremy Flawn (875603)
Email Address	
Address	Brunel House Volunteer Way Unknown SN7 7YR
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Jeremy Flawn
Comment ID	LPPub3168
Response Date	21/01/15 15:27
Consultation Point	Core Policy 24: Affordable Housing ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.6

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** Yes

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** Yes

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

As currently drafted, Core Policy 24 fails to reflect the recent ministerial announcement (by Brandon Lewis dated 28 November 2014) that excludes sites of 10 dwellings or less from having to provide affordable housing.

Consequently the Plan is not justified and is inconsistent with national policy and it is thus unsound.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

By making the following changes, the Plan will reflect the government's policy on affordable housing for small developments.

The changes proposed are as follows:

Revise Core Policy 24 to fully reflect the government's policy on affordable housing for smaller developments (no requirement to provide any affordable housing for schemes of 10 dwellings or less).

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

**Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

Bluestone Planning wishes to be given the opportunity to appear at the oral part of the examination in public to debate these representations with the Local Planning Authority in front of the Inspector, should the opportunity arise.