

## Comment

<b>Agent</b>	Mr Philip Rawle (879101)
<b>Email Address</b>	philip.rawle@prp-consultants.com
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	PRP Consultants
<b>Address</b>	Unit 2B, The Tack Room Worcester Road Worcester WR6 6NH
<b>Consultee</b>	Greenlight Developments Greenlight Developments (879102)
<b>Address</b>	Unknown Unknown Unknown
<b>Event Name</b>	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
<b>Comment by</b>	Greenlight Developments Greenlight Developments
<b>Comment ID</b>	LPPub3327
<b>Response Date</b>	22/01/15 10:32
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Policy 1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Email
<b>Version</b>	0.8
<b>Files</b>	<a href="#">APPENDIX 1 (Greenlight Developments).pdf</a>
<b>Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?</b>	No
<b>Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)</b>	No
<b>If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.</b>	N/A

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

In part Core Policy 1 reflects the principles of the presumption in favour of sustainable development, and it partly reflects in part the Planning Inspectorate's model wording of this policy. However, for it to be legally compliant and positively prepared it needs to fully reflect the model wording.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

Core Policy 1 needs to follow the Planning Inspectorate's model wording of the presumption in favour of sustainable development policy. This being: "When considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. It will always work proactively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area. Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Local Plan (and, where relevant, with policies in neighbourhood plans) will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise" taking into account whether: - Any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole; or - Specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted."

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

**Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

The nature of our representations is strategic and has key implications.