

Comment

Agent	Miss Alice Brighton (872081)
Email Address	
Company / Organisation	Planning Potential
Address	Magdalen House 148 Tooley Street London SE1 2TU
Consultee	(872083)
Company / Organisation	Green & Co
Address	N/A N/A N/A
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Green & Co ()
Comment ID	LPPub802
Response Date	18/12/14 12:18
Consultation Point	Core Policy 7: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	Yes
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 162 of the NPPF requires LPAs to take account of the need for strategic infrastructure and infrastructure capacity. Paragraphs 4.40 to 4.48 of the Local Plan sets out the need for new development to take this into account and ensure that the relevant facilities are provided in accordance with national requirements.

This is strengthened further in Core Policy 7, which requires all new development to provide for the necessary on-site and, where appropriate, off-site infrastructure requirements arising from the proposal. We also support the Council's inclusion of viability testing, as this could lead to development being undeliverable, should this flexibility not be provided.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

We are acting on behalf of our client who has an interest in the North West Abingdon-on-Thames strategic allocation and as such, we request to participate in the EIP in order to support this site and other policies in the plan.