

Comment

Consultee	Mr John Huddleston (827918)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	4 St James Road Radley Abingdon OX14 3AQ
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr John Huddleston
Comment ID	LPPub1252
Response Date	22/12/14 11:53
Consultation Point	Core Policy 7: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	No
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I consider the Local Plan does not consider infrastructure in Radley thoroughly enough and with due attention on timing. Radley's roads are narrow and cannot support much more traffic at peak times. Sugworth Lane particularly is completely inadequate as, should the A34 have a Southbound exit at Lodge Hill, there will be much traffic from the intended settlement at Sandford Lane (so called South Kennington). Timing of the infrastructure is also important and should be done in advance of house building. The foul waste system in Radley is already failing and must be uprated before building houses. These aspects have not been carefully considered.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The infrastructure plan should be reassessed to consider the existing state of facilities and services and a timeline to replace them.

Please note *your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination