Comment

Consultee Mr David Hastings (872880)

Email Address

Address 68 Lindsay Drive

Abingdon OX14 2RT

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Mr David Hastings

Comment ID LPPub1232

Response Date 22/12/14 11:07

Consultation Point Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs (View

)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Email

Version 0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

Yes

No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a N/A core policy please select this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with Yes the Duty to Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Plan is based on the exceptionally high forecasts of housing need from the controversial Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA), which has been criticised by the public, organisations and politicians. In an independent critique of the SHMA commissioned by CPRE Oxfordshire

(http://www.cpreoxon.org.uk/campaigns/item/2375-protect-rural-oxfordshire), a leading planning expert concluded that the SHMA?s estimate is likely to be ?grossly overstated? by a factor of over two.

The SHMA housing need figure is highly questionable. It suggests an increase in Oxfordshire's housing by 37%, from 272,000 to 372,060, in just 17 years, putting enormous pressure on local communities and the countryside.

Much of the SHMA's forecast is based on another forecast that 85,000 new jobs will be created in the county. However much of this figure seems to be based on questionable hopes of aggressive economic growth and housebuilding rates, and it has not been subject to public consultation or independent scrutiny.

I am not aware that there has been any response to these criticisms from the Council, or any attempt to instigate an independent review of the SHMA, and there is no evidence that the Council has given them appropriate consideration.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The SHMA itself says it is just a starting point and only part of the evidence base for determining housing need, and further work needs to be done to test whether it can be accommodated sustainably before adopting it as a housing target. As far as I understand it, the Vale Council did not attempt to undertake this further work before adopting the SHMA figures unquestioningly.

The Council should be required by the Inspector to assess the SHMA against social, environmental and infrastructure considerations, and revise the Plan accordingly.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, No - I do not wish to participate at the oral **do you consider it necessary to participate at the** examination oral part of the examination?