

## Comment

Consultee	Mrs Sarah Church (872583)
Email Address	
Address	Oak Tree House Shrivenham SN6 8AE
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mrs Sarah Church
Comment ID	LPPub950
Response Date	18/12/14 21:59
Consultation Point	Core Policy 20: Spatial Strategy for Western Vale Sub-Area ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** Yes

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** North of Shrivenham

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The comments made in the supporting documentation pertaining to the response to the results of the village consultation rendered unlikely results: the proposed building of a MUGA (large floodlit football stadium) and 4 new tennis courts right behind current housing, and less than 500m from the current football pitch and tennis courts; as well as the proposal to reduce climate change caused by traffic congestion by increasing broadband speeds (paras 88 and 103 respectively).

No mention is made in the plan of the impact of development of the area to the North of Shrivenham on the local wildlife including native species such as fox and red deer.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

Leisure facilities must not present noise or light disturbance to residents, particularly where this is wholly out of character for the area (tranquil village location adjacent to preserved church).

The congestion on the A420 created by developing north of Shrivenham will only be alleviated by creating a dual carriageway, which is an unsuitable and inappropriate addition to the local area.

An impact study on the area to the north of Shrivenham with regard to wildlife is required.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination