

Comment

Consultee	Joel Dothie (831832)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	Fairlawne Chilton OX11 0RT
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Joel Dothie
Comment ID	LPPub652
Response Date	17/12/14 13:41
Consultation Point	Core Policy 5: Housing Supply Ring-Fence (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Local Plan states that ?the ring fence is a subset of the wider Science Vale geographical area that encompasses the most sustainable locations for development and intentionally excludes its more rural parts?.

However, the ring fence area comprises Harwell Campus and Chilton Field both of which reside in their entirety within the North Wessex Downs AONB. Note Chilton Field was built on a brownfield site that was within the Campus perimeter.

The ring fence, in direct relation to the Harwell Oxford Campus and Chilton, ignores the NPPF 115 and 116, the CROW Act 2000 Section 85, Core Policy 44: Landscape and is therefore unsound.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Summary: The proposed Ring Fence is unsound and does not comply with the CROW Act and NPPF .Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB from the Housing supply ring-fence.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination