Comment

Consultation Point

Consultee Joel Dothie (831832)

Email Address

Address Fairlawne

Chilton OX11 0RT

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Comment by Joel Dothie

Comment ID LPPub653

Response Date 17/12/14 13:42

·

Status Submitted

Submission Type Email

Version 0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, No effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy N/A please select this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Yes Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comp co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 4.24 states that ?It is anticipated that this will deliver approximately 23,000 jobs between 2011 and 203?

The VWHDC appears to have accepted these job figures without any scrutiny.

A recent planning application for 200 homes in Leicestershire in which the planning Inspector Jonathan G King said or no reliance? on the local SHMA (produced by GL Hearn) and was unable to give it ?Significant weight in the detapplication?. (SOURCE:

http://majorapps.planningportal.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/documents/2425527/S62A-2014-0001%20-%20Statement%

Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs (Vie

The Inspector also noted that SHMAs should not take into account the ?aspirational employment growth of the Local I (LEP)? (Paragraph 26).

Further to this, the Oxfordshire Innovation Engine, Realising the Growth Potential, October 2013 (http://www.sqw.co.uk/files/2613/8690/7243/Oxford_engine.pdf), paragraph 6.10 states that ??road congestion is a 23% of those responding to our survey identified it as a constraint on growth, and most of those interviewed expressed in relation to the A34??

It would therefore seem irresponsible and premature to allocate unprecedented large strategic housing sites on gre legally protected landscape of the North Wessex Downs AONB, particularly if the predicted growth is not realised.

As such, the plan is unsound.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or soun the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan I sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Pleas possible.

Summary: The numbers of new jobs expected at the Harwell Oxford Campus are aspirational so that they are an unto make a case for placing a large number of houses on a prominent region of the North Wessex AONB. In order to sound and legally compliant, and protect the legally protected landscape of the North Wessex Downs AONB, the for are necessary: ? Remove the entire allocation of 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus. ? Remove the addition homes from the North West Harwell Campus (eg reduce the number of houses from 550 to 400 including the 125 are permission)). ? Include provision of up to 400 new homes at the North West Harwell Campus (including the 125 almost permission), provided that all development is contained within the perimeter of the Harwell Oxford Campus and is conford Campus. ? Reallocate the 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus and the additional 150 houses from the Campus (1,000 houses in total) to other sites already identified by the Vale of White Horse, for example: ? (a) Valley already been assessed as having additional capacity for up to a further 1,200 homes) ? (b) Didcot A (capacity for 4 Land West of Steventon (capacity for 350 houses), or ? (d) Distributed throughout the West Vale in order to encourage economic growth and prosperity more equally across the district. ? Or reduce the total SHMA allocation for the Dist

? Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB entirely from the Science Vale ?Ringfence? in order to protect it from fudevelopment should the Science Vale fall behind in delivery of its housing targets. Only by implementing these step Plan be compliant with the NPPF paragraphs 115, 116 and the CROW Act 2000.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information neces the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issu for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examit necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?