



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:
Strategic Sites and Policies**
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mr

First Name

Ken

Last Name

Dijkman

Job Title

(where relevant)

Organisation

Dijkman Planning

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

35 Berkeley Road

Line 2

Newbury

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

RG14 5JE

Telephone Number

07712135772

E-mail Address

dijkman@msn.com

(where relevant)

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation : Drivewalk Limited

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph	Strategic Site Allocation 20	Policy	Core Policy 4	Proposals Map	
-----------	------------------------------	--------	---------------	---------------	--

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	YES	No	
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes		No	NO
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	YES	No	

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

See attached Representations Supporting Allocation Site 20 Faringdon

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Modifications are not sought in relation to Allocated site 20 because this allocation is supported as a Sound proposal, however modifications are sought to the allocations proposed under Core Policy 4. Namely to delete sites within the green belt and AONB and to substitute sustainable non AONB and Green Belt sites.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

YES

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Drivewalk Ltd needs representation at the Examination because it is important to discuss and demonstrate that this proposed strategic site (allocation site 20) is deliverable and sustainable and to explain why the Plan is Sound in relation to this Allocation and that it is demonstrably preferable to sites in protected landscapes which in view of their clear conflict with policy render the plan unsound.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

19/12/2014

