



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:
Strategic Sites and Policies**
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	Mr	
First Name	Guy	
Last Name	Langton	
Job Title (where relevant)	Clerk	
Organisation (where relevant)	East Hanney Parish Council	
Address Line 1	12 Brookside	
Line 2	East Hanney	
Line 3	Wantage	
Line 4	Oxfordshire	
Post Code	OX12 0JL	
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address (where relevant)		

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 3

Proposals Map

Spatial Strategy

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We believe that East Hanney should not be classed as a Larger Village. The scoring system has chosen an arbitrary 14 points and above to be classed as a Larger Village. East Hanney score exactly 14. There is a single point for a Library, but this is a mobile library that is expected to be lost as this services is being reviewed. We have one point for a local shop, but this is a small community shop run by volunteers and is not a commercial enterprise, and we have one point for a place of worship, this is a tiny chapel.

A full analysis of this is contained in section 16 of the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014'.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The points system does not take into account the size of facilities provided and should be changed to make this fact easier to distinguish. A place of worship could be 1, 2 or 3 points depending on pew space, shops could be 1, 2 or 3 depending on turnover, and libraries could be 1 or 3 depending on being a fixed building or mobile service.

East Hanney should be able to be reclassified as a Smaller Village if the mobile library facility is stopped,

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to present this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 4

Proposals Map

Land South of East
Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared,
Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-
operate

Yes

No

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We believe that the Spatial Strategy for Land South of East Hanney is not legally compliant, it has failed to comply with the duty to cooperate and is unsound.

Our arguments are fully explained, with supporting evidence in the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

The East Hanney Parish Council and the overwhelming majority of residents of East Hanney wish the strategic Housing allocation of about 200 houses for land south of East Hanney to be removed from the Local Plan 2031 Part1.

We have submitted evidence that the plan is

- The Plan has not been properly prepared in accordance with the legal requirements relevant to certain policies.
- The Vale has not followed the proper procedures – the process is therefore flawed
- That particularly for East Hanney the Vale has not followed the proper procedures for consultation.
- It is not justified on a number of counts when using evidential basis, including the consideration of reasonable alternatives based on proportional evidence
- The standards applied for sustainability appraisal by the Vale are not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), and that further in respect of the proposal for East Hanney have not been robustly appraised. And
- In the case of the strategic development proposals for East Hanney are not achievable – not deliverable.

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 8

Proposals Map

Land South of East
Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared,
Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-
operate

Yes

Yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We believe that building 200 houses on Land South of East Hanney does NOT minimise pressure on the highway network. East Hanney has very few employment facilities and extremely limited shops. To reach any shopping facilities, secondary school, leisure centres, health care facilities or centres of employment the extremely busy A338 road must be used. We have detailed these aspects, and supported it with evidence, in the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We would like the housing allocation of 200 houses to the south of East Hanney to be removed from the CP8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 17

Proposals Map

Land South of East Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

Yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We believe that CP4; Delivery of strategic Highway Improvements within the south-East Vale sub area is unsound.

Fig 5.6a Map shows Land south of East Hanney which is actually in another Sub Area, a note on the map indicates Route Improvements, but this is not listed in CP4 and there are no details of what these route improvements will be.

We believe that because of the proposal to build a substantial number of houses in Wantage and Grove that the A338 / Steventon Road junction at East Hanney will become a severe bottleneck. A further allocation of 200 houses on Land South of East Hanney will increase local traffic and this will exasperate the problem. The route improvements are unclear and vague.

We have provided details in section 10 of the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP17 should state that improvements to the A338 junctions at East Hanney will be required.

In addition

CP4 ; The housing allocation of 200 houses to the south of East Hanney to be removed from the strategic Housing allocation

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

14 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 23

Proposals Map

Land South of East Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

Yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We believe that the Core Policy 23: Housing Density is unsound.

It is stated that the minimum housing density of 30 dwellings per hectare will be required unless it will have an adverse effect on the character of the area. The proposed allocation of about 200 houses on Land South of East Hanney is on 8.2 Ha and gives a housing density of 25 houses per hectare. This is 2 or 3 times the density of housing within the existing village of East Hanney. The housing density for this rural village is one of the highest of all the strategic housing sites. CP23 gives no details of who or how it is decided if 30 or 25 or any other figure has an adverse effect on the character of the village.

A full analysis of housing density is contained in the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP23: should state that for rural villages Housing density should be low and be similar to that in the existing village.

CP4 ; The housing allocation of 200 houses to the south of East Hanney to be removed from the strategic Housing allocation

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

14 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 33

Proposals Map

Land South of East Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We believe that CP33 as applied to the construction of 200 houses on Land South of East Hanney is not sound. The site does not encourage walking or cycling, there are no direct bus routes to the planned centres of economic growth and the plan suggesting moving the preschool from the site shared with the primary school to a new site a long way away actually discourages sustainable transport.

Further details and evidence is presented in the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP33 should state that Strategic Housing will NOT be considered on sites where sustainable transport to local facilities such as Leisure centres, health facilities, secondary schools and shops is impractical.

CP4 ; The housing allocation of 200 houses to the south of East Hanney to be removed from the strategic Housing allocation

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 35

Proposals Map

Land South of East
Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared,
Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-
operate

Yes

Yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The application of CP35 to Land south of East Hanney is unsound. The site does not encourage walking and there are no cycle routes to employment areas, shopping centres, secondary schools or a leisure centre.

Further details and evidence is provided in the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP35 should be modified to include the following statement:

Strategic Housing sites shall only be considered where it can be demonstrated that the site will provide suitable cycling and walking routes to centres of employment, shopping facilities, health care facilities and a secondary school.

CP4 ; The housing allocation of 200 houses to the south of East Hanney to be removed from the strategic Housing allocation

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 39

Proposals Map

Land South of East
Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared,
Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-
operate

Yes

yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The application of CP39 to the proposed 200 houses on Land South of East Hanney is unsound. The proposed site is of archaeological significance. Further details and evidence is provided in the attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP39 should be modified as follows

No development should occur on sites that are likely to contain historical artefacts shall be developed until after a full geophysical survey has been conducted, the results made publically available and any significant features excavated.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 42

Proposals Map

Land South of East Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

Yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

CP42 as applied to Land South of East Hanney is unsound.

East Hanney it a significant risk of flooding and we believe that relying on electric pumps for example to provide a drainage solution is not robust.

Further details of the flooding history and issues with flooding are in the attached document ' Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP42 Flood risk should be amended as follows

1. Where communities are known to be at a high level of flood risk. Flood mitigation measures must be formally approved and their implementation must be inspected. A report detailing the measures, the inspection results must be provided to local residents and the parish councils.
2. Maintenance procedures and the name of who is responsible for maintenance must be agreed before construction commences.
3. Housing developers will be held liable for any damage or loss caused by flooding or neighbouring property if as result of development in an area known to be at high flooding risk
4. Drainage systems will not be allowed that rely on the use of electric powered pumping systems.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 43

Proposals Map

Land South of East Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

CP 43 has not been implemented in the Housing allocation to the south of East Hanney and is unsound.

The land proposed is partly agricultural land used for growing crops. Part of the land has high environmental value.

Please see attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP43 should be amended as follows
Agricultural land that is most versatile shall not be used for housing development.

CP4 ; The housing allocation of 200 houses to the south of East Hanney to be removed from the strategic Housing allocation.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP 46

Proposals Map

Land South of East Hanney

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

CP46 as applied to Housing development on Land South of East Hanney is not sound.

The proposed site contains significant biodiversity, is a site which is identified as a proposed Local Wildlife Site, is a priority habitat and next to a rare chalk stream river.

Please see attached document 'East Hanney community response to consultation of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Dated 15 December 2014.'

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

CP46 This should be modified to state that an independent body should decide if development can occur and the reasons stated must be available for public scrutiny.

CP4 ; The housing allocation of 200 houses to the south of East Hanney to be removed from the strategic Housing allocation

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ yes

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

A member of the Parish Council Housing sub-committee is able to prevent this evidence orally and provide further details or clarification if required by the inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

15 Dec 2014