

Comment

Consultee	Dr Sarah Eccles (872095)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	Howes Cottage 6 Chapel Lane Abingdon OX14 4AN
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Dr Sarah Eccles
Comment ID	LPPub2468
Response Date	16/12/14 22:36
Consultation Point	Core Policy 15: Spatial Strategy for South East Vale Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. East of Sutton Courtenay

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The objective of reducing use of cars though for example improvement of the frequency of buses is also a worthy one. However the argument for this actually happening is not convincing. For example

in Sutton Courtenay where a large proportion of the already large population commutes to Didcot station daily the bus service has proved entirely inadequate to provide a viable option to the car. The idea that building a further 200 houses will provide sufficient support to change this in any useful way is not credible.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

To be sound the ilikely growth and hence the housing need should be reassessed . The type of housing provision should be reconsidered in order to develop a plan which meets the current and future housing need.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination