

Comment

Consultee	Ms Joyce Encer (832011)
Email Address	
Address	unknown unknown unknown
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Ms Joyce Encer
Comment ID	LPPub612
Response Date	17/12/14 11:51
Consultation Point	Core Policy 11: Botley Central Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Botley

The community is thrilled that the Planning Committee all voted against Doric?s grandiose but ugly and totally unsuitable plans. Botley should remain a local service centre serving the community: it is not suitable as a leisure destination. Above all Elms Parade should be retained as it is totally in keeping with the housing opposite, stands well back from the road creating space for convenient short-term parking and is, furthermore, a non-designated heritage asset. May one hope that the Vale will reassure the vulnerable Field House residents that Miss Field?s bequest will not be included in the Local Plan? Clearly the Vicarage should remain by the church. That still leaves a considerable site for development, hopefully on one level as at present.