Comment

Consultee Mr Mark Atkins (872661)

Email Address

Address 15 Norreys Road

Oxford OX2 9PT

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Mr Mark Atkins

Comment ID LPPub1034

Response Date 19/12/14 10:48

Consultation Point Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for

Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area

North West of Abingdon-on-Thames

(View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.4

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

No

No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down

list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with No the Duty to Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

My comments relate specifically to the area north of Abingdon (ie the Cumnor, Botley and surounding areas) and that green belt area.

The infrastructure in the area is significantly inadequate for the current usage and is not fit for purpose.

All road routes in and around the area are congested at rush hour, but even outside of rush hour the road are congested. Whilst public teansport may be acceptable in some areas the reality is (as acknowledged by the county council) Oxfordshire and its sub areas such as the Vale area are very rural and as such the majority of com, muters rely on roads to get about.

If further jobs are created in the area then the traffic will only worsen, and in effect the productivity of the region will only worsen, not improve. Therefore, there is a need for significant investment in local infrastructure and where there are housing or other community developments they should be done in such a way as to minimise the need for infrastructure improvements. Afterall the infrastructure is not grossly adequate at present, and even with minor improvementds (eg hamburger roundabouts, reconfiguring junctions, etc) there is very little chaage in capacity which doesnt really improve the roads - these are really politically motivated to suppor rhetoric).

Other supporting infrstructure such as schools, community/shopping centres. Drs. etc need to be at a scale approapriate to the local community. Also, the scale of any improvement should be scaled to the local community and not increase traffic in the locality.

Central government say they are promoting the local high street and members of the cimmunity want better local services (to reduce the need to travel and reduce traffic), and therefore all developments and supporting infrastuctuire should be scaled to the local residents needs and not add to congestion or pressures to local services.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Smaller, community sponsored, developments which require smaller chnages to the supporting infrastructure.

Developments of housing, local centres and supporting infrastructure developments should be focused based on proximity with the jobs (ie the housing and services should be local to the jobs).

All changes should be sympathetic to the local community and their needs, to the character of the local environment (both green and built), and scaled accordingly.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, No - I do not wish to participate at the oral do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

examination