Comment

Mr James Greenman (1094284) Consultee

Email Address

Address

Event Name LPP2 Publicity Period Oct - Nov 2017

Mr James Greenman Comment by

Comment ID 353

Response Date 22/11/17 09:55

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Q1 To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? Please state the paragraph

or policy or policies map.

12a & 13a

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

Yes

No Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound?

Q4 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with No

the Duty to Cooperate?

Q5 Please provide details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Firstly, I endorse the BCMC, SPADE and Sunningwell Parish Council consultation responses.

Secondly, I specifically wish to comment on polices 12a and 13a, which seek to Safeguard land for a remote Park and Ride at Lodge Hill and transport links to the proposed development at Dalton Barracks.

The land being safeguarded is already protected by existing planning controls due to Green Belt status of the land concerned. Any development proposal in this location already has to meet the highest test of 'very special circumstances' which the Vale as planning authority can already control. A local plan review could still consider the need for the infrastructure if it were not safeguarded and would be able to do so in the context where there would be greater certainty about the Oxfordshire County Council

(OCC) Local Transport Plan proposals. Consequently, there is absolutely no "necessity" to safeguard it at this time and the plan to do so is therefore unsound.

Q6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at 5 above. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I, therefore, object to the safeguarding of land in Sunningwell Parish for bus and cycleways and the Park and Ride because it is <u>unsound</u>. They should be removed from the plan and any future plans should not be brought forward without comprehensive engagement with the local community and landowners.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I wish to be represented at the EIP by Sunningwell Parish Council and SPADE.

Would you like to hear from us in the future?

- I would like to be kept informed about the progress of the Local Plan
- I would like to be added to the database to receive general planning updates