

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 November 2017 08:00
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: RESPONSE TO VWHDC LOCAL PLAN Part 2; Objection to proposed allocation of 600/700 house site in Parish of Fyfield.

Sent from my Windows Phone

From: [Yvonne Constance](#)
Sent: 23/11/2017 07:13
To: [REDACTED]; [Adrian Duffield](#)
Subject: RESPONSE TO VWHDC LOCAL PLAN Part 2; Objection to proposed allocation of 600/700 house site in Parish of Fyfield.

Today is closing date for responses to LPP2. I wish to register my continuing objection to the proposed allocation of 600/700 house site east of Kingston Bagpuize, which is in Fyfield Parish, though seldom acknowledged.

The site is said to be necessary to meet Oxford City's Unmet Need within the boundaries set by Inspector at LPP1 and deliverable because it is not in the green belt.

OBJECTION 1

I object as County Cllr for Shrivenham Division to maintain the long standing objection to adding unacceptable traffic congestion to the A420.

* There are already allocations/permissions for over 11,000 houses between Swindon and Oxford all expecting to use A420 to travel to work in Oxford. Swindon is known to be the nearest/most affordable housing near Oxford.

* This development would have severe impact on A420. The site is located at the most congested part of A420 - east of the roundabout at Kingston Bagpuize where the A415 joins the A420 and the dual carriageway narrows to single file in each direction. This would be only partially assisted by running Bus 66 as a special service from the site to Oxford - not all travellers will be going to Oxford, and not all will travel by bus.

OBJECTION 2

* I am not satisfied (and nor is OCC or VWHDC) that the proposed infrastructure can be delivered : 2 roundabouts, an access road (necessary to develop the site) a primary school and a retail park are all proposed. If development starts and developers seek to get out of any of these commitments, the project risks failure and affordable housing could be reduced to keep the development profitable. Affordable housing is the greatest need for Oxford's Unmet Need.

* VWHDC has called for verified estimates that these project would be deliverable within the viability threshold which have not yet been seen. OCC officers continue to require them.

OBJECTION 3

* This development makes no contribution to the known infrastructure needs of the Vale. The proposed infrastructure for this site amounts to no more than access for the builders and for the residents. There are other sites which would deliver infrastructure to meet existing needs, and possibly contribute to the population to encourage re-opening a station at Wantage/ Grove.

LPP2 should be free to consider these opportunities and the restriction of the boundary set by the Inspector should be challenged.

Cllr Yvonne Constance