# Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 2 Schedule of Proposed Draft Main Modifications

#### Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:	
Individual	

### Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to statutory planning regulations, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered:					
Title	Mr				
Name	Philip				
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-				
Job title (if relevant)	-				
Address line 1					
Address line 2					
Address line 3	-				
Postal town					
Postcode					
Telephone number					
Email address					

## Page 5: Agent contact details

Q3. Due to statutory planning regulations, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered. As you are acting on behalf of another organisation, you need to provide their details in the first box but your company name and contact details thereafter.

No Response

## Page 6: Business / organisation contact details

Q4. Due to statutory planning regulations, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered:

No Response

#### Page 7: Part B - your comments

Please provide the relevant modification number or document to which your comment relates. The list of documents are below: • Schedule of Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Schedule of Draft Maps and Figures • Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum • Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement re Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Additional Air Quality Evidence • Additional Transport Evidence Proposed Modification Number / Document:

VLP2019

#### Q5. Please provide your comments below:

#### Dear sir.

My first comment is the outrageously poor lack of engagement for the residents of Shippon by the Vale officers. During out Neighbourhood Plan (NP) development right through to examination we offered a seat on the planning group to the Vale and they attended the first meeting and no more. They offered support and provided none. They criticised the plans development and then refused to say what was wrong with it. Latterly as the LPP2 inspection and main modifications are being consulted on they have continued to ignore the very valid concerns of the residents and blatantly misrepresented the views of the villagers of Shippon.

I note that the inspector in his letter of 30/10/18 to the Vale commented on the unsoundness of LPP2 regarding land proposed to be taken from the Green Belt (GB) but he felt that Shippon can remain in the GB. The plan could easily accommodate the number of houses required without impacting on current settlements. The subsequent letter from the inspector on 19/12/18 talks of an Inset Shippon with similar protection as if it were in the GB. Although this letter was couched 'without prejudice' - there is no explanation as to why he changed his mind. This process of letters driven in 'planning and without come back does nothing to gain support from the local population.

I am concerned that the LPP2 in unsound for a number of reasons;

- GB boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances none have been forthcoming.
- The 1200 houses can be contained in the current brown field are within the airfield and remain separate from Shippon The Vale have constantly ignored this notion.
- Shippon is classed as a small village in the various LPP2 and subordinate documents which means it is only able to have infill housing added. The proposed 1200 houses does not constitute infill.
- The Vale references Garden Village principles but expanding Shippon is out-with their own stated plan. Their logic is distorted.
- The MM4 and MM5 documentation are in conflict. Shippon is a historic small village and should not be part of some continuous new settlement which would completely overshadow the current nature of the village.
- We have asked for a 'buffer zone or clear separation from the new settlement. This is a major request from the villagers and is continuously ignored by the Vale. A small concession would see the villagers maintaining the support for the new housing whereas at the moment there is antagonism because the Vale have changed their plan and never listen or engage with locals.
- The villagers have concern over the link between the Vale planners and the MOD trying to maximise the sale of the airfield. There may well be a background agenda to the sale and the removal of Shippon from the GB.
- The Parish Council has clearly demonstrated that it is perfectly possible to cover the 1200 houses required by the Vale without affecting the local GB. Likewise the buffer zone requested and the country park are all feasible if the Vale listen and take note of what the local people suggest.
- In response to the main modifications questions the Vale have jumped headlong into responding again without taking any soundings from the local residents of Shippon. A traffic survey has been produced which has major flaws in the figures quoted. Cars joining one road then disappearing before the next junction? No sensible plan has been put forward as to how the significant increase in vehicular journeys will be managed. No sensible plan put forward as to how walking and cycling pathways will be developed and enhanced.
- In conjunction with the above no sensible plan has been put forward regarding air quality and the

consequences of an additional 3000 vehicles being used in the area. The link road to a new park and ride has been dismissed therefore the new residents will all wish to use their cars for local journeys. The original publicity stated that all these new residents would live and work as an Oxford overspill - this is in itself a fantasy coupled with no appreciation of leisure and local journeys.

- The current infrastructure for roads makes no proper provision for cycle lanes and continuous walking paths the Vale have simply ignored this lack of provision and the new outline plans will make journeys even more hazardous.
- As a final comment I believe the taxpayers money spent on management consultant fees to produce erroneous evidence to support a planning system that is fatally flawed is a disgrace and should be exposed to the public as soon as possible. The Vale are grasping at straws to get their plans through without the slightest concern about what residents think and know to be a better way forward. This whole process has been a classic case of mismanaging the planning system by trying to bamboozle lay people. I include the correspondence by the inspectors in that comment. The use of none standard English to investigate and explore planning matters leaves ordinary folk standing on the outside of the debate when the whole focus should be about including them in the debate.

Q6. F	Please ι	ıpload	any	sup	porting	documents	below:
-------	----------	--------	-----	-----	---------	-----------	--------

No Response

Q7. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No

#### Page 9: Part B - your comments

Please provide the relevant modification number or document to which your comment relates. The list of documents are below: • Schedule of Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Schedule of Draft Maps and Figures • Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum • Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement re Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Additional Air Quality Evidence • Additional Transport Evidence Proposed Modification Number / Document:

No Response

Q8. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q9. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q10. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

#### Page 11: Part B - your comments

No Response

Q11. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q12. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q13. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

### Page 13: Part B - your comments

Please provide the relevant modification number or document to which your comment relates. The list of documents are below: • Schedule of Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Schedule of Draft Maps and Figures • Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum • Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement re Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Additional Air Quality Evidence • Additional Transport Evidence Proposed Modification Number / Document:

No Response

Q14. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q15. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q16. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

#### Page 15: Part B - your comments

No Response

Q17. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q18. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q19. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

#### Page 17: Part B - your comments

Please provide the relevant modification number or document to which your comment relates. The list of documents are below: • Schedule of Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Schedule of Draft Maps and Figures • Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum • Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement re Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Additional Air Quality Evidence • Additional Transport Evidence Proposed Modification Number / Document:

No Response

Q20. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q21. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q22. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

## Page 19: Part B - your comments

No Response

Q23. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q24. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q25. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

### Page 21: Part B - your comments

Please provide the relevant modification number or document to which your comment relates. The list of documents are below: • Schedule of Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Schedule of Draft Maps and Figures • Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum • Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement re Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Additional Air Quality Evidence • Additional Transport Evidence Proposed Modification Number / Document:

No Response

Q26. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q27. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q28. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

#### Page 23: Part B - your comments

No Response

Q29. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q30. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Q31. Would you like to comment on another Main Modification?

No Response

#### Page 25: Part B - your comments

Please provide the relevant modification number or document to which your comment relates. The list of documents are below: • Schedule of Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Schedule of Draft Maps and Figures • Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum • Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement re Proposed Draft Main Modifications • Additional Air Quality Evidence • Additional Transport Evidence Proposed Modification Number / Document:

No Response

Q32. Please provide your comments below:

No Response

Q33. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

## Page 27: Future contact preferences

Q34. As explained in our data protection statement, in line with statutory regulations you will be contacted by the Programme Officer (and where necessary the Council) with relevant updates on the Local Plan. Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire District Councils have a shared planning policy database. If you would like to be added to our database to receive updates on other planning policy consultations, please tick the relevant district box(es) below:

I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for Vale of White Horse