

Comment

Consultee	mr colin williams (820629)
Email Address	
Address	2 Cowleaze Close Shrivenham Swindon SN6 8EH
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	mr colin williams
Comment ID	LPPub13
Response Date	16/11/14 17:49
Consultation Point	Core Policy 20: Spatial Strategy for Western Vale Sub-Area continued from previous page (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. North of Shrivenham

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The number of 500 houses of which I believe is an under estimate of what is planned for the village of Shrivenham is a massive percentage increase on the number of houses already in the village. This is

going to cause a massive strain on the local infrastructure causing a decrease in the quality of life for the established residents. We all recognise the need for more housing but please in moderation to what we have already. It is greatly appreciated that the local planning authorities have listened in part to the local residents by deciding not to build to the south of the village and keep all the major expansion to the north.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A greatly reduced number of houses for Shrivenham should be considered.

Improved infrastructure before building takes place especially A420 access point modifications and much better sewerage capacity.

More medical facilities at the doctors surgery.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination