

Comment

Consultee MR DAVID RICHARDS (866198)

Email Address [REDACTED]

Address Brooks End
4 Berry Lane
Didcot
OX119QJ

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication

Comment by MR DAVID RICHARDS

Comment ID LPPub12

Response Date 16/11/14 11:08

Consultation Point Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs ([View](#))

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I wish the section 'Where Housing Will Go' to be amended. I understand why main growth is within the Science Vale. This however means that the SE Vale is providing 45% of the identified housing. This

does not reflect the role that Oxford plays in the County. I believe Botley and Kennington should be allocated specific housing sites to balance the growth in the South of the County. The villages and rural communities in the SE Vale eg Blewbury, have over recent years had a disproportionate amount of development compared with other rural areas. The Local Plan 2031 should therefore have a policy that recognises this and identifies villages that have not had significant growth in the period since the last local plan. This new policy should allocate a proportion of the 6600 houses unallocated at present in The Plan to each of the three Sub Areas. This should reflect the fact that 45% of the strategic sites are located in the SE Vale.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination