

Comment

Consultee	Mrs Andrea Spencer (872777)
Email Address	
Address	46 Appleton Rd Oxford OX29QH
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mrs Andrea Spencer
Comment ID	LPPub1171
Response Date	19/12/14 14:26
Consultation Point	Core Policy 7: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Cumnor and the surrounding area do not have the appropriate infrastructure to cope with such a huge increase in the number of suggested houses. The road transport system in the village cannot be enlarged due to the nature of the village, the A34 is already overwhelmed and the junction in Botley

to get on to the A34 blocks due to the weight of traffic. The slip roads onto the A34 are far too short, as i am sure the police would confirm. The proposed entry and exit points to sites 4 and 5 are unrealistic, it is already unsafe driving past the school in the morning. Both the local schools and GP surgery cannot cope. The infrastructure issues need to be resolved before the housing stock is increased

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Reassessment, by impartial experts, reviews of the Green Belt.

Reexamination of the ability of the current infrastructure to cope with an increased stock of houses, and how to improve the infrastructure to achieve this if at all.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination