

## Comment

Consultee	Mr Paul Spencer (872575)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	46 Appleton Rd Cumnor Oxford OX29QH
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Paul Spencer
Comment ID	LPPub1082
Response Date	19/12/14 12:41
Consultation Point	Core Policy 7: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** No

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** No

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The A34 is one of the busiest, if not the busiest dual carriageways in England, it cannot cope with an increase in traffic and the proposed upgrades will not change this situation. Many of the local villages

also have narrow roads which cannot be widened, this will lead to massive traffic delays which will have a negative economic and social effect. The Healthcare and emergency services struggle to cope at present as it is. This means the infrastructure needs to be upgraded before any major increase in the housing stock takes place

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

A thorough reconsideration of the existing proposals, utilising impartial experts.

Due consideration given to the required upgrades to the transport and public services provision

Due consideration given to the existing green belt which should be preserved for all future generations.

***Please note*** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

***After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.***

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination