

## Comment

<b>Consultee</b>	Mrs Thelma Scott (868212)
<b>Email Address</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Address</b>	The Old Mill House Cottage East Hanney Nr Wantage OX12 0JJ
<b>Event Name</b>	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
<b>Comment by</b>	Mrs Thelma Scott
<b>Comment ID</b>	LPPub1616
<b>Response Date</b>	02/12/14 15:17
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.2
<b>Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?</b>	No
<b>Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)</b>	No
<b>If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.</b>	South of East Hanney
If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)	
<b>Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?</b>	No

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

I do not consider the Local Plan is legally compliant because the Vale have not followed the correct process with regards to consultation on the change of the East of East Hanney site to the South of East Hanney site.

**Consultation** - Please note that the South of East Hanney site is a new site and at no point has been the subject of public consultation. The only consultation has been on the site to the East of East Hanney to which there was little objection compared to the mass objection to the South site at a recent public meeting and on the Hanney web site. There is no clear reason why the site was changed.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

I strongly object to the to the proposed development to the South of East Hanney for the above stated reasons and would would ask the inspector to first consider if 200 houses in a village of 360 is realistic and sustainable.

If Hanney has to have some development, then a far lower number of around 50 houses on the East of East Hanney site is the modification I suggest.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination