

Comment

Consultee	Mr Ashley Poyton (871676)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	21 Mattock Way Abingdon-on-Thames OX14 2PD
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Ashley Poyton
Comment ID	LPPub2442
Response Date	14/12/14 21:56
Consultation Point	Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Concerning the North Abingdon development, there has been little thought given to the impact of additional housing on an already overstretched education, social and traffic infrastructure. None of the

developments in this area make provision for work to change the Abingdon North A34 access to make this four way, so all the traffic will have to use the ringroad or town centre that is currently struggling to cope with the traffic that has to flow on these roads. Adjustments made recently to the Wootton Road roundabout do not help with the traffic situation. Before the housing stock around Abingdon is increased then I suggest that changes to the A34 junction would be required.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Improvements to infrastructure are made before the development of houses -eg four way A34 access at North Abingdon

The council listen to the advice given to them in the development report to not build on top quality agricultural land and retain the Green Belt area between Abingdon (the oldest populated town in the country) and Oxford.

There is an argument for building on land to the West of the Tilsey Park, Abingdon. Any such development will be bordered by the A34, therefore this would form a natural boundary for the housing, but I cannot see that this housing stock would be desirable to the potential buyer as this is a busy route and there would be noise issues, such as those encountered in Botley (West Oxford) where there are special walls installed to reduce the road noise for the local residents.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination