

Comment

Consultee	Mr Matthew Pryor (872798)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	17 Potteries Lane Chilton Didcot OX11 0TZ
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Matthew Pryor
Comment ID	LPPub1173
Response Date	19/12/14 14:30
Consultation Point	Local Plan 2031 Publication Version (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	No
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	East Harwell Campus (Harwell and Chilton Parishes)
If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)	
Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?	No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 115 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that "*Great weight should be given to conserving landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), which have the highest status of protection in relation to landscape*

and scenic beauty. The conservation of wildlife and cultural heritage are important considerations in all these areas, and should be given great weight" .

Paragraph 116 of the NPPF states that *"Planning permission should be refused for major developments in these designated areas except in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated that they are in the public interest"*. In the VOWH DC wanting to build 850 houses - a major development - within green-field land, which lies fully within the North Wessex Downs AONB - the East Harwell Campus site - it is not legally compliant with the above paragraphs included in the NPPF. Not only that, the habitat of the abundance of birds, deer and other wild mammals that live in the area will be severely disrupted. Equally, the much preserved and protected Icknield Way will be detrimentally affected by the proposed development, which uses this ancient route as its north border.

The employment numbers used in the Local Plan are inconsistent and should not be used to phase housing delivery. It is highly unlikely, as the VOWH DC suggests, that future residents at the site will work at the Harwell Science Campus and is not sound justification to build homes adjacent to the Science Campus. It is appalling that such housing should be considered in a heavily protected AONB when all developments from the Oxford Green Belt - which holds lesser legal protection - have been removed. Oxford is the most expensive city to live in the UK - including London - when taking wage earnings and housing costs ratios. Last financial year not one affordable house was built in Oxford or its surrounding green belt. Truly appalling.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Due to the non-legalities of VOWH DC proposal for the East Harwell campus site the East of Harwell Campus site as a whole should be completely withdrawn, and should be relocated to either of the following:

- 1 The Valley Park site, which has already been assessed as having capacity for an additional 1600 homes.
- 2 The Didcot A site, which has capacity for 425 houses.
- 3 Land west of Stevenson, which has capacity for 350 houses.
- 4 Distributed throughout the rest of the Vale in order to encourage and support economic growth and prosperity more equally throughout the district.

Please note *your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination