

Comment

Consultee	Mr Brian Payne (871358)
Email Address	
Address	6 Manor Close Chilton Didcot OX11 0SS
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Brian Payne
Comment ID	LPPub155
Response Date	11/12/14 10:27
Consultation Point	Core Policy 15: Spatial Strategy for South East Vale Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 5.68: ?There is an ambitious programme of jobs creation and growth for the science vale area, including two Enterprise Zone sites at Harwell Campus and Milton Park designated in 2011. It

is important this growth is delivered alongside new housing and the provision of appropriate infrastructure to help make the area more self-contained and to achieve a sustainable pattern of development.?

Delivery of Housing under the Plan will be solely led by the housing market. It is particularly important that any housing associated with the Harwell-Oxford Campus is controlled by the Campus so that it can meet the needs of employees and keep pace with employment growth.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In order to make the Local Plan sound and legally compliant with NPPF 115, 116 and the CROW Act 2000, the following modifications are necessary: ? Introduce a Core Policy specifically relating to the Protection of the North Wessex Downs AONB, beyond what is covered in Core Policy 44: Landscape ? Remove the entire allocation of 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus. ? Remove the additional allocation of 150 homes from the North West Harwell Campus (eg reduce the number of houses from 550 to 400 (including the 125 already given outline permission)). ? Include provision of up to 400 new homes at the North West Harwell Campus (including the 125 already given outline permission), provided that all development is contained within the perimeter of the Harwell Oxford Campus and is controlled by the Harwell Oxford Campus. ? Reallocate the 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus and the additional 150 houses from the North West Harwell Campus (1,000 houses in total) to other sites already identified by the Vale of White Horse, for example: ? (a) Valley Park (which has already been assessed as having additional capacity for up to a further 1,200 homes) ? (b) Didcot A (capacity for 425 houses), or ? (c) Rowstock (capacity for 515 houses), or ? (d) Land West of Steventon (capacity for 350 houses), or ? (e) Distributed throughout the West Vale in order to encourage and support economic growth and prosperity more equally across the district. ? Or reduce the total SHMA allocation for the District by 1000

? Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB entirely from the Science Vale ?Ringfence? in order to protect it from future speculative development should the Science Vale fall behind in delivery of its housing targets.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination