

Comment

Consultee	Ms Margaret Killick (871772)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	17B Park Crescent Abingdon OX14 1DF
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Ms Margaret Killick
Comment ID	LPPub245
Response Date	15/12/14 13:56
Consultation Point	Local Plan 2031 Publication Version (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	No
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I wish to object to draft Local Plan Part 1 2031 Re Core Policy 4: consultation

The consultation process has been poor. The report to the Council about the consultation process ignores important procedural and policy challenges, and seriously understates opposition to the proposals, both from several thousand written comments and from public discussion meetings. I therefore believe the plan has not been positively prepared. I therefore consider the plan to be unsound, because it is not justified by robust evidence.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Much lower housing figures (based more closely on the Government's own household projections) should be used by VWHDC in its Local Plan, and I ask the Inspector **to delete from the Plan all site allocations in the Green Belt and the North Wessex Downs.**

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination