

Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One: Strategic Sites and Policies

Publication Stage Representation Form

D	٥f	
1	CI	

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts -

Part A - Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*		2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
	se complete only the Title, Name and Organisation full contact details of the agent in 2.	•
boxes below but complete the	iuli contact detalis of the agent in 2.	
Title		Mr
First Name		Steven
Last Name		Sensecall
		_
Job Title		Partner
(where relevant)	Lands Improvement Holdings	14 014 115
Organisation	Limited	Kemp & Kemp LLP
(where relevant)		10010
Address Line 1	10 Lower Grosvenor Place	1-3 Ock Street
Line 2	London	Abingdon-on-Thames
LING Z	London	Abiligacii cii Tilailico
Line 3		Oxfordshire
Line 4		
Post Code	SW1W 0EN	OX14 5AL
Talanhana Niwahan		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		
(where relevant)		

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :					
3. To which part of the Local Plan doe	s this represent	ation relate?			
Paragraph 4.40 - 4.48 Policy	CP7	Proposals Map			
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:					
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No	\checkmark	
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes		No	V	
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co- operate	Yes	N/A	No		
Please mark as appropriate.					
5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.					

Lands Improvement Holdings Limited (LIH) accepts the principle of providing supporting infrastructure and services in relation to new development. LIH also welcomes the acknowledgement in the second paragraph of Policy CP7 that "infrastructure requirements could render ... development unviable ..."

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your

comments.

This is a change from previous drafts, which stated that major development proposals should be supported by independent viability assessments. LIH questioned whether that approach was lawful.

In previous submissions, LIH also raised concerns about the suggestion that, as a last resort, the Council would refuse planning permission if a major development would be unsustainable without the inclusion of unfunded infrastructure requirements (criterion iii). LIH's view is that, particularly insofar as strategic allocations are concerned, it is incumbent on the Council to work closely with land owners and developers at the plan-making stage to ensure that the policy requirements and the requirements of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) in relation to such sites are:

- (a) Consistent with the requirements of the three legal tests set out in Regulation 122 of the CIL Regulations and at paragraph 204 in the MPPF; and
- (b) Are capable of being borne by the development without having an adverse effect on its liability.

As drafted, there is also potential inconsistency between the first paragraph in Policy CP7 and the CIL provisions in the last four paragraphs of the policy. If CIL is adopted, developers who may be bringing forward other sites in Science Vale (LIH acknowledges and welcomes the Council's decision to propose a 'nil CIL rate' in respect of Crab Hill) may not be in a position to ensure the "timely delivery" of "necessary" on-site and off-site infrastructure requirements arising from the proposal. It will depend on how/when pooled contributions are collected and who / which body is ultimately responsible for the delivery of that infrastructure.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

DELETE criterion iii

AMEND the first paragraph of Policy CP7 to read:

All new development will be required to provide for the necessary on-site and, where appropriate, offsite infrastructure requirements arising from the proposal. Infrastructure requirements will be delivered directly by the developer and / or through an appropriate financial contribution prior to, or in conjunction with, new development. In ensuring the timely delivery of infrastructure requirements, development proposals must should demonstrate that full regard has been paid to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and all other relevant policies of this plan. The Council acknowledges however that it needs to work with land owners and developers to ensure that the pooled contributions are collected in a timely manner and that there is clarity as to who is ultimately responsible for the delivery of the necessary infrastructure.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No , I do not wish to oral examination	participate at the	√	Yes, I wish to par oral examination	ticipate at the	
8. If you wi be necessa	sh to participate at the ry:	oral part of the exam	ination, pleas	se outline why you	consider this	to
provide the	to appear at the Exam evidence that, insofar able and deliverable.					
	e the Inspector will det ted that they wish to pa				ear those who	D
riave iridica	ted that they wish to pe	articipate at the oral p	an or the exc	amination.	_	
	Signature:			Date:	1	8.12.2014