



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:
Strategic Sites and Policies**
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Dr

First Name

Susan

Last Name

Moss

Job Title

(where relevant)

Organisation

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

Millside

Line 2

Mill Orchard

Line 3

East Hanney

Line 4

Oxfordshire

Post Code

OX12 0JH

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

(where relevant)

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

1.9

Policy

Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No



4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No



4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Proposed Strategic Allocation at East Hanney

In Spring 2014, the East of East Hanney site was proposed. It was considered by the village as relatively non-contentious although people did not like 200 houses on a single site. Only 30 objections were received. On October 15th, the Vale, for unexplained reasons [ref: Local Plan Presentation meeting at The Beacon, Wantage, 21st November 2014] changed the site to the south of East Hanney. A well-attended public meeting was held in East Hanney on November 24th. A subsequent ballot showed that 234 out of 250 did not know of the south site proposal and 245 out of 250 do not want it.

I refer to Section 17 of the NPPF "... empowering local people to shape their environment ...". I ask the Inspector to recognise that the community was not consulted about the change of site and, therefore, that the Plan was not "... in accordance with legal and procedural requirements ..." of the NPPF. The consultation process was not adhered to and, therefore, the Plan is fundamentally unsound.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at

examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary: ☐

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

10/12/14

