Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :				
3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?				
Paragraph Policy	CORE POLLY		MAR ON P.3 OF PART A	opperoup
4. Do you consider the DPD is :				
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes		(No.)	2?
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co- operate	Yes		No	
Please mark as appropriate.				
5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.				
(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)				
6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.				
As the owner of almost all Worth West Valley Park (allocated for Boo homes) I but a question make not towards the general parnight of development, but because no Masteetlan has as yet been prepared — and therefore it is difficult to say whether is is (a) effective (b) Justicial.				