

Comment

Consultee	Mr Terry Macmillan (872436)
Email Address	
Address	'Hedgerows' 2 Denmans Lane Cumnor OX2 9PF
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Terry Macmillan
Comment ID	LPPub785
Response Date	18/12/14 11:43
Consultation Point	Core Policy 13: The Oxford Green Belt (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Vale of White Horse Council's comments on the Green Belt review of February 2014 deemed it that 2 sites namely 4 & 5 were inappropriate as they lay in the Cumnor Conservation Area and therefore "removing them from the Green Belt would serve no purpose". Why without any consultation has the Vale now reversed its position and included in the Cumnor Plan?

Site numbers 6 & 24 have been withdrawn from the plan as being unsuitable for housing. If thats the case why should it not remain in the Green Belt.

Removing all the Cumnor 5 sites from the Green Belt inevitably will lead to housing redevelopment. This whole exercise is based on excessive calculation for future housing and should be rejected or greatly modified with the exclusion of GREEN BELT areas identified.