

## Comment

Consultee	Mr Clive Manvell (829424)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	Tamarisk Main Street Wantage OX12 0JE
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Clive Manvell
Comment ID	LPPub815
Response Date	18/12/14 12:31
Consultation Point	Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** No

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** South of East Hanney

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** No

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The fields are lowland Calcareous Grassland and historic ridge and furrow. According to The WildLife Trusts since 1984 80% of this type of land in the UK has disappeared. This type of land is the breeding

grounds and natural habitat of some of the U.K's most threatened bird life, mammals, wildflowers and insects. Many can live nowhere else. Hanney has ensured this significant and nearly unique area has been protected from destruction through its land management and farming practices and is blessed in still having this rare asset today. It is an essential part of the heritage of the village and forms an important part of the legacy of the village for future generations. The proposal for the development of this site is unsound and the loss of this significant wild life habitat cannot be justified. The plan is therefore not consistent with national policy to use land of lesser significance for wildlife when considered against reasonable alternatives.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

The Plan as proposed for the site south of East Hanney should be abandoned as there has been no consultation regarding the proposal and what is proposed has not been justified and is unsound.

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination