

## Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One: Strategic Sites and Policies

Publication Stage Representation Form

	_	£	_
ĸ	е	T	:

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Pt 1

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts -

Part A - Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

## Part A

Personal Details*		2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
	e complete only the Title, Name and Organisation full contact details of the agent in 2.	
Title	MR	MR
First Name	DAVID	JEREMY
Last Name	MASON	FLAWN
Lastitaine	Wilcom	1 L/WIV
Job Title		ASSOCIATE
(where relevant)		
Organisation	OXFORD DIOCESAN BOARD OF FINANCE	IMPACT PLANNING SERVICES LTD
(where relevant)		
Address Line 1	C/O AGENT	UNIT 1 THE COURTYARD
Line 2		COPSE FARM, LANCASTER PLACE
Line 3		SOUTH MARSTON PARK
Line 4		SWINDON
LIIIC 4		SWINDON
Post Code		SN3 4UQ
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation : <b>OXFORD DI</b>	OCESAN BOA	RD OF FINANCE		
3. To which part of the Local Plan does	this represent	ation relate?		
Paragraph <b>5.28 - 5.31</b> Policy		Proposals Map		
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :				
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	X	No	
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes		No	X
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co- operate	Yes	X	No	
Please mark as appropriate.				
5. Please give details of why you consi	der the Local P	lan is not legally con	npliant or	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The plan is not sound in that it is not 'effective' – it is incapable of delivery as currently drafted in relation to the Central Botley Area.

Page 16 of the Local Plan 2031 Part 1 Consultation Statement (February – May 2013 and February – May 2014) indicates, under the sub-heading 'Central Botley Area' at the second main bullet point that in revising the Plan:

"The site area reflects land stated to be available for development or redevelopment, and the full area is included to ensure comprehensive design and access solution is achieved, whether or not existing buildings remain on some parts of the site."

Figure 5.3 of the Local Plan 2031 Part 1 defines the Botley Central Area by a red line on the map base, while the draft Proposals Map shades the area in blue. The defined area is subject to redevelopment proposals as set out in Core Policy 11 and paragraphs 5.28-5.31. It includes the Vicarage, a property controlled by the Oxford Diocesan Board of Finance (see attached map outlining the property boundary). The Local Planning Authority has been advised on a number of occasions that the Diocese is not a willing vendor of the Vicarage and therefore the land is not available for development, notwithstanding what the Part 1 Consultation Statement says.

The comprehensive redevelopment of the Botley Central Area as described in paragraphs 5.28 – 5.31 and Core Policy 11 is therefore incapable of delivery as presently drafted.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The boundary of the Botley Central Area which is the subject of paragraphs 5.28-5.31 and Core Policy 11 should be redrawn in figure 5.3 and on the Proposals Map to exclude the Vicarage, the boundary of which is delineated in red on the plan that accompanies these representations.

The Oxford Diocesan Board of Finance is only prepared to make the Vicarage available for redevelopment as part of the Botley Central Area if there is public consensus that it should be included as part of a wider re-development of the Central Botley Area and a valid planning permission is granted for the re-development of the Area.

suggested modification, as make further representatio stage.  After this stage, further s Inspector, based on the rexamination.	ns based on the orig	illy be a so inal repre only at t	ubsequent opportu sentation at publica he request of the	nity to
7. If your representation is seeki part of the examination?	ng a modification, do you	u consider i	necessary to participa	ate at the oral
No, I do not wish oral examination	to participate at the	X	Yes, I wish to particip oral examination	ate at the
8. If you wish to participate at the necessary:	ne oral part of the examir	nation, pleas	se outline why you con	sider this to
The Oxford Diocesan Board of F examination in public as this site have the opportunity to debate t Inspector, should the opportunity	e is not currently available hese representations wit	e for develo	pment and the ODBF	is keen to
examination in public as this site have the opportunity to debate t	e is not currently available hese representations wit y arise.  The etermine the most appropriate is a second control of the second	e for develo h the Local priate proce	pment and the ODBF Planning Authority in f edure to adopt to hear	is keen to ront of the