

Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One: Strategic Sites and Policies

Publication Stage Representation Form

Dof	
nei	•

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts -

Part A - Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

Personal Details*		2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
	se complete only the Title, Name and Organisation full contact details of the agent in 2.	
Title	MR	
First Name	JEREMY	
Last Name	FLAWN	
Job Title (where relevant)	PARTNER	
Organisation	BLUESTONE PLANNING PARTNERSHIP LLP	
(where relevant) Address Line 1	BRUNEL HOUSE	
Line 2	VOLUNTEER WAY	
Line 3	FARINGDON	
Line 4	OXFORDSHIRE	
Post Code	SN7 7YR	
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address (where relevant)		

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: Bluestone Planning Partnership LLP					
3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?					
Paragraph Policy	Core Policy 3	Proposal	ls Map		
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:					
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	X		No	
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes			No	X
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to cooperate	Yes	X]	No	
Please mark as appropriate.					
5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or					

is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

As currently drafted, Core Policy 3 fails to recognise the important role that development at the lowest order settlements (those ranked below the current four tiers of the settlement hierarchy) have played in the past in maintaining the supply of housing in the Vale.

Furthermore the Core Policy 3 approach to resisting development in those locations is itself inconsistent with the conclusions reached by the Vale in relation to the saved policies of the current adopted Local Plan (policies H12 and H13) where the Vale accepted (see eg 5 Year Housing Land Supply Statement July 2012 pages 12-13) that the approach they are now advocating was at least partially inconsistent with the NPPF and in particular paras 47 and 49. To exclude the lowest order settlements and rural communities from the hierarchy is also inconsistent with paragraphs 54-55 of the NPPF and demonstrates that the Plan has not been positively prepared in that it fails to plan for the objectively assessed needs of the area (NPPF paras 14 & 157).

Consequently the Plan is not justified and is inconsistent with national policy and it is thus unsound.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

By making the following changes, the Plan will be sound in that it will reflect a more holistic approach to supporting the rural communities in the Vale without excluding certain villages and rural communities from benefiting from anything other than affordable housing. This will accord with the NPPF and it will demonstrate that the Plan has been positively prepared.

The four tiers of the hierarchy should be expanded to refer to the lowest order rural communities and settlements as a fifth tier where appropriate development (including that necessary to meet local needs and market housing) will be supported where it helps enhance or maintain the vitality of those rural communities and smallest settlements.

The changes proposed are as follows:

- Under the Smaller Villages paragraph, revise the text to state: "....development should be modest and proportionate in scale and primarily be to meet local needs should seek to enhance or maintain the vitality of the Smaller Villages."
- Delete the last paragraph of the policy ('...Those villages' etc) and replace with: "In those villages not included within the categories described above, development will be proportionate in scale, being limited to infilling, reuse of buildings, conversions or other minor development (three dwellings or less)."

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further sub Inspector, based on the ma examination.		•	•		
7. If your representation is seeking part of the examination?	a modification, do yo	ou consider it	necessary t	o participate at th	ne oral
No, I do not wish to poral examination	participate at the	X	Yes, I wish oral examin	to participate at t ation	he
8. If you wish to participate at the obe necessary:	oral part of the exami	nation, pleas	e outline wh	y you consider th	nis to
Bluestone Planning wishes to be given the opportunity to appear at the oral part of the examination in public to debate these representations with the Local Planning Authority in front of the Inspector, should the opportunity arise.					
Please note the Inspector will dete have indicated that they wish to pa				ot to hear those v	vho
Signature:				Date:	19 December 2014