## Comment

Consultee	Mrs Teresa Griffiths (871400)
Consuitee	10113 101034 011111113 (01 1400)

Email Address

Address High Corner

Main Street Chilton OX11 0RZ

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Comment by Mrs Teresa Griffiths

Comment ID LPPub171

Response Date 11/12/14 11:56

Consultation Point Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs ( <u>Vie</u>

**Status** Submitted

Submission Type Email

Version 0.5

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, No effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy N/A please select this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Yes Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comp co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 4.24 states that ?It is anticipated that this will deliver approximately 23,000 jobs between 2011 and 203

The VWHDC appears to have accepted these job figures without any scrutiny.

A recent planning application for 200 homes in Leicestershire in which the planning Inspector Jonathan G King said or no reliance? on the local SHMA (produced by GL Hearn) and was unable to give it ?Significant weight in the detapplication?. (SOURCE:

http://majorapps.planningportal.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/documents/2425527/S62A-2014-0001%20-%20Statement%/

The Inspector also noted that SHMAs should not take into account the ?aspirational employment growth of the Local I (LEP)? (Paragraph 26).

Further to this, the Oxfordshire Innovation Engine, Realising the Growth Potential, October 2013 (http://www.sqw.co.uk/files/2613/8690/7243/Oxford\_engine.pdf), paragraph 6.10 states that ??road congestion is a 23% of those responding to our survey identified it as a constraint on growth, and most of those interviewed expressed in relation to the A34??

It would therefore seem irresponsible and premature to allocate unprecedented large strategic housing sites on gre legally protected landscape of the North Wessex Downs AONB, particularly if the predicted growth is not realised.

As such, the plan is unsound.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or soun the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan I sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Pleas possible.

In order to make the Local Plan sound and legally compliant, and protect the North Wessex Downs AONB, the follow necessary:

- 1 Remove the entire allocation of 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus.
- 2 Remove the additional allocation of 150 homes from the North West Harwell Campus (eg reduce the number 400 including the 125 already given outline permission)).
- Include provision of up to 400 new homes (including the 125 already given outline permission) at the North W provided that all development is contained within the perimeter of the Harwell Oxford Campus and is controlled Campus.
- 4 Reallocate the 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus and the additional 150 houses from the North West H houses in total) to other sites already identified by the Vale of White Horse, for example:
- ? (a) Valley Park (which has already been assessed as having additional capacity for up to a further 1,200 homes) ? for 425 houses), or ? (c) Land West of Steventon (capacity for 350 houses), or ? (d) Distributed throughout the Weencourage and support economic growth and prosperity more equally across the district.
- 1 Or reduce the total SHMA allocation for the District by 1000
- 2 Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB entirely from the Science Vale ?Ringfence? in order to protect it from development should the Science Vale fall behind in delivery of its housing targets.

Only by implementing these steps in full will the Local Plan be compliant with the NPPF paragraphs 115, 116 and t

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information neces the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issu for examination.

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral exam it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?