

Comment

Agent	Miss Alice Brighton (872081)
Email Address	
Company / Organisation	Planning Potential
Address	Magdalen House 148 Tooley Street London SE1 2TU
Consultee	(872083)
Company / Organisation	Green & Co
Address	N/A N/A N/A
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Green & Co ()
Comment ID	LPPub806
Response Date	18/12/14 12:19
Consultation Point	Core Policy 23: Housing Density (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	Yes
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We support the requirement of a minimum of 30 dph for housing sites, and in those areas of high accessibility, for higher densities to be considered. This is in accordance with Paragraph 59 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which states that design policies should avoid unnecessary prescription or detail and should concentrate on guiding the overall density of new development in relation to neighbouring buildings and the local area more generally.

Policy 23 provides sufficient flexibility for the density of development to be guided through individual circumstances rather than being dictated by strict policy requirements and as such, we consider this to be a ?Sound? policy.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

We are acting on behalf of our client who has an interest in the North West Abingdon-on-Thames strategic allocation and as such, we request to participate in the EIP in order to support this site and other policies in the plan.