

Comment

Consultee	Chilton Garden Centre (874676)
Address	3 Pioneer Court Chivers Way Cambridge CB24 9PT
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Chilton Garden Centre
Comment ID	LPPub3650
Response Date	22/01/15 15:53
Consultation Point	Core Policy 2: Cooperation on Unmet Housing Need for Oxfordshire (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5
Files	Chilton GC Vale of White Horse LP Nov 2014_1.doc_redacted.doc Chilton GC Vale of White Horse LP March 2014.doc_redacted.doc

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) Yes

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support

the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

See additional sheet.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

N/a

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Redrow is of the view that the publication version is sound, in this regard, and the approach underpinning Core Policy 2 appropriately reflects the advice at paragraph 178 - 181 of the NPPF.

Redrow Homes would wish to appear at the examination in order to explore and explain the significance of Core Policy 2 to the timely delivery of the policies set out in the publication version and City Deal as perceived by a company which will assist in the implementation phases. The stance outlined in Core Policy 2 provides an important statement of intent to enable necessary investment decisions to be taken now to ensure delivery can proceed as anticipated / required.