

Comment

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Consultee | Mr Michael John Hodder (872133) |
| Address | 92 Oxford Road Cumnor OX2 9PQ |
| Event Name | Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication |
| Comment by | Mr Michael John Hodder |
| Comment ID | LPPub558 |
| Response Date | 17/12/14 09:53 |
| Consultation Point | Core Policy 13: The Oxford Green Belt (View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Letter |
| Version | 0.2 |

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I duely enclose my formal objection to the above on environmental grounds.

Whilst the actions can only object to one specifc area in Part B I have many reservations which have not been addressed.

The overall plan totally ignores Cumnor as a distinctive village not having part of any urban development.

I trust this major issue will be examined and I totally object to such a massive elimination of Green Belt protection surrounding our village!!

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The proposal does not consider Cumnor as a specific and distinct village standing on its own. The Council has proposed that 95% of the surrounding land be eliminated from Green belt protection, surely increasing the risk of total absorption into the Oxford Area. Cumnor therefore loses an independent identity.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination