

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation : PHYL HAZARD

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy 4 Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes		No	NO
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The initial consultation on the Plan was seriously flawed. The Council did not distribute the leaflets explaining the Plan until three weeks into the consultation, and then only distributed them to Parish Clerks with a request to arrange for their delivery. This resulted in many people not having any knowledge of the Plan, or at best, a very limited time to respond. The website set up for responses was grossly inadequate, most people attempting to use it were unable to do so. The Vale has now admitted that there was a problem with the website. The response submitted by a major local landowner was dated 11th April 2014, one week after the closing date of the consultation, apparently the consultation was extended to take account of the website problems. No public announcement of this extension was made.

The figures for housing required as calculated by the SHMA are based on wildly optimistic assumptions. The Plan is unsound as there are no alternative plans provided to provide the planned infrastructure upgrades if the housing does not get built.