

Comment

Consultee	Mr/Mrs Nic/Rose Hallam (828972)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	67 Appleton Road Cumnor Cumnor Oxford OX29QH
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr/Mrs Nic/Rose Hallam
Comment ID	LPPub2449
Response Date	16/12/14 20:11
Consultation Point	Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A
If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)	
Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?	Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The developments proposed in places such as Cumnor are not in keeping with a small village and would inevitably cause traffic chaos. There is a strong sense of cohesion and community in Cumnor and this would be lost if the village grew significantly and became a suburb of Oxford.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The SMHA should be revisited with a more robust and carefully researched prediction of growth expectations, and calling on a range of expert independent advice, to ensure the final plan will serve the community and county well in years to come.

Consultation should enable all to participate in such important decisions and therefore should be undertaken in a number of ways, at different times and at neighbourhood/village level.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination