## Comment

Consultee Mrs Vivienne Illingworth (868096)

**Email Address** 

**Address** 2 Boulter Drive

> Abingdon **OX14 1XF**

**Event Name** Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

**Publication** 

Comment by Mrs Vivienne Illingworth

**Comment ID** LPPub736

**Response Date** 17/12/14 20:25

**Consultation Point** 1.24 Paragraph (View)

Submitted Status

**Submission Type** Web

Version 0.1

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a N/A core policy please select this from the drop down list.

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I understand the Duty to Cooperate, but I think it should be spelt out in the Plan that if land has been considered to be unsuitable for development for the VWHDC Plan, then it will also be unsuitable for development to meet other council?s needs.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant

or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.
It should be spelt out in the Plan that if land has been considered to be unsuitable for development for the VWHDC Plan, then it will also be unsuitable for development to meet other council?s needs.