

Comment

Consultee	Philip Hawtin (831034)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Company / Organisation	Cumnor Parish Council
Address	unknown unknown unknown
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Cumnor Parish Council (Philip Hawtin)
Comment ID	LPPub3733
Response Date	23/01/15 09:08
Consultation Point	Local Plan 2031 Publication Version (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	No
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Failure of the Consultation Procedure

These changes were not properly consulted upon.

? The consultation procedure followed by the Vale was inadequate both in terms of the time and the manner in which it was conducted.

? The time allocated did not allow the Parish Council sufficient time to consult with residents and it was only able to respond by holding an Extraordinary Council meeting.

? The contents of the leaflet supplied by the Vale were profoundly unsatisfactory. It set out the Vale's case for building houses but failed to cover any of the surrounding issues nor did it mention that the Vale was consulting on a wider range of sites.

The Vale missed clear opportunities to draw this wider consultation to the attention of residents:

? The leaflet did not make any explicit reference to the advice that the Vale had sought and received, nor did it state that the Vale was simultaneously seeking comments on its additional proposals to remove areas other than the Strategic sites from the Green Belt.

? No mention of the extended consultation was made at the meetings which the Vale called to launch the Strategic Housing Consultation process.

The inescapable conclusion is that the manner in which the Vale carried out its review was entirely unacceptable.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The sites in the Oxford Green Belt that have been identified for housing should be withdrawn from the Plan. All reference to the green belt review and its conclusions should be removed from the plan.

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination