Comment

Consultee	Philip Deer (830390)
Julianifee	Fillip Deel (030330

Email Address

Address unknown

> unknown unknown

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Philip Deer

Comment ID LPPub1596

Response Date 08/01/15 13:37

Consultation Point Core Policy 13: The Oxford Green Belt (View)

Submitted Status

Submission Type Letter

Version 0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

No

No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.

N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The removal of Green Belt sites around Cumnor is not justified. Sites 3, 4 and 5provide a cherished rural environment for local inhabitants and a rural buffer against the A420. Most importantly there are no ?exceptional circumstance? to justify releasing the land from the Green Belt. Botley and Cumnor should not be merged at the expense of local countryside. Once land is removed from the Green Belt it is clearly only a matter of time before it is developed.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB

Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Reject removal of Green Belt land from its protected status.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, No - I do not wish to participate at the oral **do you consider it necessary to participate at the** examination oral part of the examination?