Comment

Consultee Joel Dothie (831832)

Email Address

Address Fairlawne

Chilton OX11 0RT

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 20

Comment by Joel Dothie

Comment ID LPPub661

Response Date 17/12/14 13:49

Consultation Point 5.61 Paragraph (<u>View</u>)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Email

Version 0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and No Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this $\,$ N/A from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comp precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its complianc this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 5.61 states that ?Two of our strategic housing sites are located within the North Wessex Downs AONB. permission should be refused except in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated they are in the strategic housing sites are located within the North Wessex Downs AONB.

Paragraph 116 of the NPPF in full is as follows: ?Planning permission should be refused for major developments in circumstances and where it can be demonstrated they are in the public interest. Consideration of such applications development, including in terms of any national considerations, and the impact of permitting it, or refusing it, upon to developing elsewhere outside the designated area, or meeting the need for it in some other way, and Any detrimen recreational opportunities and the extent to which that could be moderated?

Despite extensive searching through documents, there are no statements from the VWHDC which fully comply with justified the largest housing allocation on any greenfield site in any AONB or National Park in the UK with the follow

?In identifying the preferred site package, the Council first considered those sites that were not located within the NBelt. However, given the level of housing required, sites have been identified within the AONB and Oxford Green Bo

A mix of sites are required that would deliver homes in the short as well as longer term to restore and maintain a fir achieve this it has been necessary to consider sites in AONB and Green Belt.? (SOURCE: URS SA Report Final, Fig. 1).

This statement alludes to the fact that large scale housing allocations within the AONB have been proposed in orded does not demonstrate exceptional circumstances as required by the NPPF 115 and 116.

Further justification for building within the AONB is as follows:

?The Harwell Campus is an existing and well established development within the North Wessex Downs AONB (pre Campus is of international importance and national economic significance as a world-class centre for science, techn Space Agency). It is estimated that at least 5,400 net additional jobs will be created at the campus.?

NOTE: The European Space Agency has its headquarters in Paris, not Harwell, and has a number of other offices most of whom are already working on the Campus.

The Council believes that the international significance of the site provides uniquely exceptional circumstances to julication. Any development will need to be sensitively planned to minimise impact on the AONB whilst delivering a horiginal proposals have been refined and reduced based on more detailed landscape advice, restricting development cause significant visual harm.? (SOURCE: URS SA Report Final, Paragraphs 13.3.5 and 13.3.6)

The VWHDC clearly believe that the economic benefits that might be derived from the proposed job growth at the F circumstances? with which they should be allowed to build in the North Wessex Downs AONB. Surprisingly, the VW Harwell Oxford Campus on housing requirements to support the campus prior to the publication of the Local Plan to proven when the Harwell Oxford Campus published their initial strategy for the campus which appears to be at odds area.

However, there is no clear statement from the VWHDC on ?The need for the development, including in terms of any n it, or refusing it, on the local economy?, and as such is non-compliant with the NPPF Paragraph 116.

KEY POINTS: With regards to the NPPF paragraph 116? There is unlikely to be any negative impact on the local of Wessex Downs AONB does not go ahead? There are viable and alternative sites allocated outside of the North Wessex Downs AONB, that have already been identified by the VWHDC that can provide the housing needs without building has been given to the environmental impact of the proposed developments within the North Wessex Downs AONB, and change of character through urbanisation. The cumulative impacts of the proposed developments, combined we and the inevitable coalescence of the new development with the ?Smaller Village? of Chilton have not been assess

The SQW/Cambridge Econometrics Report clearly does not regard housing delivery as a constraint to economic g housing growth there is no evidence that one constrained the other? (SOURCE: Cambridge Econometrics, Econometrics, Economic Plan and Strategic Housing Market Assessment, Feb 2014, page 40). Therefore, by removing the strategic at the Harwell Oxford Campus is unlikely to be constrained.

This assertion is further justified by the number of viable alternative sites close to the Harwell Oxford Campus, outsi ?The cost of, and scope for, developing elsewhere outside the designated area, or meeting the need for it in some

77% of the Vale of White Horse is NOT within the North Wessex Downs AONB, and the VWHDC states that ?It is a f meet our housing needs? (SOURCE: Draft Local Plan to 2031, page 17, paragraph 69).

A review of the URS Strategic Assessment Appendices, and the Site Information Tables, confirm that several alternation to the AONB, as scoring more favourably in terms of development potential than the sites around the Ha

Appendix 9 of the URS Strategic Assessment of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 1: Appendices concl term potential, that ?In terms of the best-performing site options, these are considered to be sites at Valley Park, D have no significant constraints and would lead to various positive effects, particularly in terms of housing, reducing good access to employment sites and town centres?.

However, the VWHDC has not allocated any housing to Didcot A, despite them both being within the Science Vale Downs AONB.

Didcot A:

Didcot A has the capacity for up to 425 dwellings, with Core Policy 16 of the Local Plan: Didcot A Power Station, pathe remainder of the site such as residential, ancillary retail, institutional or community use will be considered favour

The reason stated for not carrying this site forward was given as ?Redevelopment of the site to be

supported by policy. No specific allocation proposed. Refer to site TPS 058?, with site TPS 058 stating? The site is Core Policy 13. The policy does however provide some flexibility for redevelopment on the wider site for mixed uses to include as a separate allocation.? (SOURCE: http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Topic%20Paper%

The Local Plan Part 2031 Part 1 Strategic Sites and Policies Housing Delivery Update Supporting Paper Appendix (http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Supporting%20Paper%20-%20Appendix%205%20-%20Site%20Info states, with regards to Didcot A:

?Sustainability Appraisal: No likely significant negative effects identified. Likely significant effects identified against

And whilst potential transport issues have been identified, there are committed improvements to the road network a The report further notes that ?Potential opportunities for improved public transport links and the site is well located

Site 47: Land West of Steventon

The Local Plan Part 2031 Part 1 Strategic Sites and Policies Housing Delivery Update Supporting Paper Appendix (http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Supporting%20Paper%20-%20Appendix%205%20-%20Site%20Info states, with regards to the Land West of Steventon:

?Sustainability Appraisal: No significant negative effects identified. Significant positive effects against SA Objective

The whole site has a maximum capacity for 1,175 houses.

Under transport, the following was stated ?There are capacity issues on the wider highway network, particularly to Didcot. Development at this location may lead to a significant negative effect. The site is located close to Milton Par good access to the A34. The railway line to the south presents a barrier particularly as this is likely to be a significant negative effect.

The reasons for not developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing this site were given as ?Existing significant utility infrastructure would constrain developing the site of the site of

However, this site assessment was carried out on a housing allocation of 1,175 dwellings. If 350 houses were built then much of the above mentioned potential negative effects can be completely mitigated as less than 30% of the steventon is also well placed to benefit from improved public transport links running between Grove and Milton to I described in The Local Plan under Core Policy 17: Delivery of Strategic Highway Improvements within the South-Ea a good selection of dining pubs, a Co-operative supermarket, a hairdressers, and a caf amongst its services and the services and the services are services.

Site 12: Increased Density on Valley Park

Valley Park has already been identified as having an additional capacity for up to 1,200 homes.

The Local Plan Part 2031 Part 1 Strategic Sites and Policies Housing Delivery Update Supporting Paper Appendix (http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Supporting%20Paper%20-%20Appendix%205%20-%20Site%20Info states, with regards to Increased Density on Valley Park:

?Sustainability Appraisal: No likely significant negative effects identified. Likely significant positive effects against fo

With the site being recommended for development because ?The site is well-located to the Great Western Park development alongside sites 10 and 11. Higher densities should be located towards the AONB and to minimise any i

Site 17: Harwell Oxford Campus

By contrast, The Local Plan Part 2031 Part 1 Strategic Sites and Policies Housing Delivery Update Supporting Pap (http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Supporting%20Paper%20-%20Appendix%205%20-%20Site%20Info states, with regards to Site 17 Harwell Oxford Campus:

?Sustanability Appraisal: Likely significant positive effects against three objectives. Likely significant negative effect and provide a high quality landscape and townscape) as the site is located within the AONB; and 9 (Reduce noise, to the A34 and increased traffic, noise, and light could have a significant negative effect on the tranquillity of the AC

Therefore, a quick appraisal of the potential alternative available sites indicates that the 1,400 houses at the Harwer through strategic allocations at a combination of aforementioned sites: Didcot A (up to 425 dwellings), Land West of Density at Valley Park (up to 1,200 dwellings).

These sites provide real and viable alternatives to building up to 1,400 houses within the AONB. All are well placed in the Strategic Assessment with less potential negative impacts than the Harwell Oxford Campus site.

By reallocating the houses within the AONB to these other sites, or distribute the housing across the western vale, for 116 of the NPPF ?The cost of, and scope for, developing elsewhere outside the designated area, or meeting the net the need for housing can easily be met in other ways.

The last section of the NPPF Paragraph 116 states that an assessment should be included of ?any detrimental effect opportunities and the extent to which they could be moderated?.

Whilst the VWHDC have carried out and Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA), the failings of which wil 5.63 of the Local Plan, little or no consideration appears to have been given to the detrimental effect on the environ

The original appraisal of the site, Appendix 11 of the URS SA of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 1: A developing the Harwell Oxford Campus:

?SA 8: The landscape study recommends that the site has low landscape capacity and no part of the site is suitabl AONB and there is also one listed building along the boundary of the site. Core Policies 34, 37 and 38 would apply AONB and surrounding a listed building would likely lead to significant negative effects in terms of the landscape as important views, natural features, tranquillity and noise and light pollution. As part of design and mitigation measure contribute towards the objectives of the AONB Management Plan?

?SA 9: The site is adjacent to the A34 which could lead to increased traffic (and associated air, noise and light pollution the road. The site is in a

sensitive location within the AONB which could have significant negative effects in terms of tranquillity of the AONB reduce the significance of pollution impacts; however given the sensitivity of the AONB this is likely to remain a sign

?SA 11: The site is a greenfield site which contains 140ha of Grade 2 Agricultural Land. Developing this site would Grade 2 land is the best quality in the borough and should be given greatest protection from development; however where deemed necessary?.

As such, the Local Plan does not comply with the NPPF paragraphs 115 and 116, or the CROW Act 2000 and is un

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or soun above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is i will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Summary: Since ?the large scale housing allocations within the AONB have been proposed in order to maintain a 5-that there are the exceptional circumstances required by the National Planning Policy Framework ? DCLG (2012), papermission from being refused. In order to make the Local Plan sound and legally compliant with the NPPF paragranecessary: ? Remove the entire allocation of 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus. ? Remove the additional all Campus (eg reduce the number of houses from 550 to 400 (including the 125 already given outline permission)). ? North West Harwell Campus (including the 125 already given outline permission), provided that all development is concampus and is controlled by the Harwell Oxford Campus. ? Reallocate the 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus Harwell Campus (1,000 houses in total) to other sites already identified by the Vale of White Horse, for example assessed as having additional capacity for up to a further 1,200 homes) ? (b) Didcot A (capacity for 425 houses), on houses), or ? (d) Distributed throughout the West Vale in order to encourage and support economic growth and prost the SHMA allocation by 1000 homes ? Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB entirely from the Science Vale ?Ring development should the Science Vale fall behind in delivery of its housing targets.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information neces suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issu

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary No - I do not wish to participate at **to participate at the oral part of the examination?**