

Comment

Consultee	Mr Woodford David (871677)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	57 Appleton Road Oxford OX14 2QS
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Woodford David
Comment ID	LPPub896
Response Date	18/12/14 17:01
Consultation Point	Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. North of Abingdon-on-Thames

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

CUMNOR VILLAGE NORTH OF ABINGDON

- 1 The drop down section does not show Cumnor, and the whole consultation website is unnecessarily complicated to navigate to the extent that prevents many people from completing it, possible a VWHDC tactic to reduce the amount of returned consultations so denying many people their input.
- 2 The infrastructure in Cumnor will not support the plan, narrow roads through the main core of the village.
- 3 The nearby main truck route North and South A34 is already at grid lock for most of the time and could not support any more traffic that would be created by any developments, also at a standstill for most of the day is the Botley road, Cumnors main access to Oxford.
- 4 Access to most of the five sites around the village would be via the one way system running the centre of the village which is already overloaded and especially dangerous where it passes the local school with no way of widening it.
- 5 The local primary school is already overloaded.
- 6 the nearest Doctors at Botley are at maximum capacity

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

- 1 **The consultation process needs to be reviewed at a local level and with local public involvement and a review of what brown sites are available away from the restraint of the village infrastructure, so preserving our precious village characters, which David Cameron says is so important to this country as these villages are a great attraction to our overseas visitors.**

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination