

Comment

Consultee	Mr David Perrow (872587)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	12 Loddon Close Abingdon OX14 3TB
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr David Perrow
Comment ID	LPPub952
Response Date	18/12/14 22:05
Consultation Point	Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	No
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Plan is based on a flawed SHMA

There has been no public consultation or examination of the SHMA, which is inflated by the aspirational numbers put forward by the LEP/Science Vale. These numbers in the SHMA should be challenged and the housing target for VWHDC reduced. It is not necessary to develop sites in the Green Belt or the AONB.

The SHMA housing numbers are undeliverable. The effect will be that the 5 year housing supply will never be met, so the VWHDC will be continually open to speculative development and the Local Plan will never be operable.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The SHMA should be opened up to proper public and democratic scrutiny. Housing targets should be reduced by at least 40%

The sites in the Green Belt and AONB should be left out of the Plan

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination