

Comment

Consultee	Keith and Margaret Eddey (831878)
Address	13 High Street Cumnor OX2 9PE
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Keith and Margaret Eddey
Comment ID	LPPub683
Response Date	17/12/14 14:26
Consultation Point	Core Policy 20: Spatial Strategy for Western Vale Sub-Area (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We think it to be a sound policy that the Vale villages should escape major developments and that developments should be substantially to enlarge the urban centres in the area. Apart from aesthetic considerations the simple answer is that whereas it is possible to adapt and expand the infrastructure in an urban area it is almost impossible to do this satisfactorily in a village. This argument sidestaps the fundamental and vital issue which is aer the figures for new jobs and new homes likely to prove accurate? At best the housing development should be guided and limited by the actual creation of the new jobs, and not be permitted to take place in advance at a developer's request.