

## Comment

Consultee	Mrs Karen Beasley (874640)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	26 Crafts End Chilton OX11 0SA
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mrs Karen Beasley
Comment ID	LPPub4418
Response Date	27/01/15 11:21
Consultation Point	Core Policy 15: Spatial Strategy for South East Vale Sub-Area ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** Yes

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** Yes

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

ANOMALIES IN SUPPORTING INFO:

- HDA 4: Land parcel 2 for site 19 is different to the land allocated by the VWHDC for development. The Chiltern Field Development is shown, but the true extent of the development has not been shown accurately, with the indication of development being smaller than the actual development. The Chiltern Field Development, completed in 2014 resulting in the 80% expansion of Chilton, has been omitted, thereby giving a false impression of the true provision of homes around the Harwell Oxford Campus development, as specified in the above document, is far smaller than the final site proposed for development on pg 36, of the Local Plan Part 1 Strategic Sites and Policies Appendices. Therefore, it cannot be an accurate reflection of the potential visual impact of the site.

- HDA 5: Land parcels G and H for site 19 are different to the land allocated by the VWHDC for development

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination